Heterogeneous Information Network Clustering Methods

Reportor:Wenbao Li



Wenbao Li



Background

- Graph(network) clustering has attracted increasing research interest.
- For homogeneous network:Spectral clustering,symmetric Non-negative Matrix Factorization,Markov clustering,Ncut,Mcut,...

However, heterogeneous information network clustering are concentrated until recently.



Background

□ Heterogeneous Information network:

Is an information network composed of multiple types of objects.

Consists of some partial attributes within types of objects and links between different types of objects.

Examples:

- DBLP(author,paper,conference,term)
- Social Network(people,groups,books,blogs,posts,etc)
- Movies(movie,actor,director,)
- Newsgroup(news,writer,group)



Part One

Wenbao Li



Related Work

RankClus(Yizhou Sun, Jiawei Han , Peixiang Zhao, Zhijun Yin , Hong Cheng, Tianyi Wu,EDBT'09) NetClus(Yizhou Sun,Yintao Yu,Jiawei Han,KDD'09) ENetClus(Manish Gupta, Charu C. Aggarwal, Jiawei Han, Yizhou Sun, ASONAM'11) GenClus(Yizhou Sun, Charu C.Aggarwal, Jiawei Han, VLDB'12) PathSelClus(Yizhou Sun, Brandon Norick, Jiawei Han, Xifeng Yan, Philip S. Yu, KDD'12) CGC (Wei Cheng, Xiang Zhang, Zhishan Guo, Yubao Wu, KDD'13) SI-Cluster(Yang Zhou, Ling Liu, KDD'13) COMCIUS(Ran Wang, Chuan Shi, Philip S. Yu, Bin Wu, PAKDD'13)



RankClus

Wenbao Li



RankClus_{(Yizhou} Sun, Jiawei Han , Peixiang Zhao, Zhijun Yin , Hong Cheng, Tianyi Wu,EDBT'09)

- Idea:Iteratively clustering and ranking which map the target type into a new Kdimensional feature space according to which the clustering is performing.
- □ Advantage:
- improve the performance of clustering and ranking simultaneously.
- avoiding to calculate the pairwise similarity of target objects.

RankClus_{(Yizhou} Sun, Jiawei Han, Peixiang Zhao, Zhijun Yin, Hong Cheng, Tianyi Wu,EDBT'09)



Bi-type Information Network

DEFINITION 1. Bi-type Information Network. Given two types of object sets X and Y, where $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_m\}$, and $Y = \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\}$, graph $G = \langle V, E \rangle$ is called a bitype information network on types X and Y, if V(G) = $X \cup Y$ and $E(G) = \{\langle o_i, o_j \rangle\}$, where $o_i, o_j \in X \cup Y$.

For convenience, we decompose the link matrix into four blocks: W_{XX} , W_{XY} , W_{YX} and W_{YY} , each denoting a sub-network of objects between types of the subscripts. W thus can be written as:

$$W = \left(\begin{array}{cc} W_{XX} & W_{XY} \\ W_{YX} & W_{YY} \end{array}\right)$$

RankClus_{(Yizhou} Sun, Jiawei Han, Peixiang Zhao, Zhijun Yin, Hong Cheng, Tianyi Ussi Is More Wu,EDBT'09)

Minin

Some Definitions

Ranking Function

DEFINITION 2. Ranking Function. Given a bi-type network $G = \langle \{X \cup Y\}, W \rangle$, if a function $f : G \to (\vec{r}_X, \vec{r}_Y)$ gives rank score for each object in type X and type Y, where

$$\forall x \in X, \vec{r}_X(x) \ge 0, \sum_{x \in X} \vec{r}_X(x) = 1, \text{ and}$$
$$\forall y \in Y, \vec{r}_Y(y) \ge 0, \sum_{y \in Y} \vec{r}_Y(y) = 1,$$

we call f a ranking function on network G.

RankClus(Yizhou Sun, Jiawei Han , Peixiang Zhao, Zhijun Yin , Hong Cheng, Tianyi Wu,EDBT'09)



Some Definitions

Conditional Rank and Within-Cluster rank DEFINITION 3. Conditional rank and within-cluster rank. Given target type X, and a cluster $X' \subseteq X$, sub-network $G' = \langle \{X' \cup Y\}, W' \rangle$ is defined as a vertex induced graph of G by sub vertex set $X' \cup Y$. Conditional rank over Y, denoted as $\vec{r}_{Y|X'}$, and within-cluster rank over X', denoted as $\vec{r}_{X'|X'}$, are defined by the ranking function f on the subnetwork $G': (\vec{r}_{X'|X'}, \vec{r}_{Y|X'}) = f(G')$. Conditional rank over X, denoted as $\vec{r}_{X|X'}$, is defined as the propagation score of $\vec{r}_{Y|X'}$ over network G:

$$\vec{r}_{X|X'}(x) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{XY}(x,j) \vec{r}_{Y|X'}(j)}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{XY}(i,j) \vec{r}_{Y|X'}(j)}.$$



Target type:the type we are going to cluster.
 Attribute type:the other types.

Assumptions: $W_{XX} = 0$



RankClus(Yizhou Sun, Jiawei Han, Peixiang Zhao, Zhijun Yin, Hong Cheng, Tianyi

Wu,EDBT'09)

Flow:

Give an initial partition of target object X

Compute the conditional ranking $\vec{r}_{X|X_k}, \vec{r}_{Y|X_k}, \vec{r}_{Y|X_$

Estimate the parameter $\Theta_{m \times K} = \{\pi_{i,k}\}(i = 1, 2, ..., m; k = 1, 2, ..., K)$ Form a new feature space $\Theta_{m \times K} = \{\pi_{i,k}\}(i = 1, 2, ..., m; k = 1, 2, ..., K)$

5 Calculate the center of each cluster according to new feature space(mean).

According the new feature space ,assign each target object into the nearest cluster



RankClus(Yizhou Sun, Jiawei Han, Peixiang Zhao, Zhijun Yin, Hong Cheng, Tianyi

Wu,EDBT'09)



Simple Rank

$$\vec{r}_X(x) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n W_{XY}(x,j)}{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n W_{XY}(i,j)}$$
$$\vec{r}_Y(y) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n W_{XY}(i,y)}{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n W_{XY}(i,j)}$$

- Authority Rank
- Give ranking scores according some authority rules.
 - Rule 1: Highly ranked authors publish *many* papers in highly ranked conferences.
 - Rule 2: Highly ranked conferences attract *many* papers from *many* highly ranked authors.



RankClus (Yizhou Sun, Jiawei Han , Peixiang Zhao, Zhijun Yin , Hong Cheng, Tianyi

Wu,EDBT'09)

$$\vec{r}_{Y}(j) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} W_{YX}(j,i)\vec{r}_{X}(i). \ \vec{r}_{Y}(j) \leftarrow \frac{\vec{r}_{Y}(j)}{\sum_{j'=1}^{n} \vec{r}_{Y}(j')}, \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{r}_{X} = \frac{W_{XY}\vec{r}_{Y}}{\|W_{XY}\vec{r}_{Y}\|} \\ \vec{r}_{X}(i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{XY}(i,j)\vec{r}_{Y}(j). \ \vec{r}_{X}(i) \leftarrow \frac{\vec{r}_{X}(i)}{\sum_{i'=1}^{m} \vec{r}_{X}(i')}, \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{r}_{X} = \frac{W_{XY}\vec{r}_{Y}}{\|W_{XY}\vec{r}_{X}\|} \\ \vec{r}_{Y} = \frac{W_{YX}\vec{r}_{X}}{\|W_{YX}\vec{r}_{X}\|} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\vec{r}_X = \frac{W_{XY}W_{YX}\vec{r}_X}{\|W_{XY}W_{YX}\vec{r}_X\|} \qquad \vec{r}_X \text{ is the eigenvector of } W_{XY}W_{YX}.$$

Similarly, \vec{r}_Y is the primary eigenvector of $W_{YX}W_{XY}$

• Rule 3: The rank of an author is enhanced if he or she coauthors with many authors or many highly ranked authors.

$$\vec{r}_Y(i) = \alpha \sum_{j=1}^m W_{YX}(i,j)\vec{r}_X(j) + (1-\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^n W_{YY}(i,j)\vec{r}_Y(j).$$

Similarly, we can prove that \vec{r}_Y should be the primary eigenvector of $\alpha W_{YX}W_{XY} + (1 - \alpha)W_{YY}$, and \vec{r}_X should be the primary eigenvector of $\alpha W_{XY}(I - (1 - \alpha)W_{YY})^{-1}W_{YX}$.

RankClus_{(Yizhou Sun}, Jiawei Han, Peixiang Zhao, Zhijun Yin, Hong Cheng, Tianyi Wu,EDBT'09)



Set
$$p_k(Y) = \vec{r}_{Y|X_k} \ p_k(X) = \vec{r}_{X|X_k}$$

 $p_{x_i}(Y) = p(Y|x_i)$ to generate a link between x_i and y in Y.

$$p_{x_i}(Y) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_{i,k} p_k(Y)$$
, and $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_{i,k} = 1$.

$$\pi_{i,k} = p(k|x_i) \propto p(x_i|k)p(k)$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{EM to estimate}_{\mathsf{m}\times K} = \{\pi_{i,k}\} (i = 1, 2, ..., m; k = 1, 2, ..., K) \\ L'(\Theta|W_{XY}, W_{YY}) = p(W_{XY}|\Theta) p(W_{YY}|\Theta) \\ = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} p(x_i, y_j|\Theta)^{W_{XY}(i,j)} \prod_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \mathsf{Wenbar}}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} p(y_i, y_j|\Theta)^{W_{YY}(i,j)} \\ \end{array}$

RankClus_{(Yizhou} Sun, Jiawei Han, Peixiang Zhao, Zhijun Yin, Hong Cheng, Tianyi Wu,EDBT'09)

ESS IS MO

C Cluster Centers and Distance Measure K-dimensional vector $\vec{s}_{x_i} = (\pi_{i,1}, \pi_{i,2}, \dots, \pi_{i,K})$ Center of cluster k:

$$\vec{s}_{X_k} = \frac{\sum_{x \in X_k} \vec{s}(x)}{|X_k|}$$

Distance measure:

$$D(x, X_k) = 1 - \frac{\sum_{l=1}^K \vec{s}_x(l) \vec{s}_{X_k}(l)}{\sqrt{\sum_{l=1}^K (\vec{s}_x(l))^2} \sqrt{\sum_{l=1}^K (\vec{s}_{X_k}(l))^2}}.$$



RankClus_{(Yizhou} Sun, Jiawei Han , Peixiang Zhao, Zhijun Yin , Hong Cheng, Tianyi Wu,EDBT'09)

- Extensions to arbitrary multi typed information network
- One-type:set Y = X.
- Bi-type with $W_{XX} \neq i$ Add type Z,Z = X. map to 2K-dimensional feature space.

Multi-typed: N types. map to NK-dimensional feature space.



NetClus

Wenbao Li



NetClustering(Yizhou Sun,Yintao Yu,Jiawei Han,KDD'09)

Idea:Find a new K-dimensional feature space by ranking which are determined by a probability generative model.

- Advantage:
- suit for multi types objects.
- cluster attribute type object.





□Information Network

Definition 1. Information Network. Given a set of objects from T types $\mathcal{X} = \{X_t\}_{t=1}^T$, where X_t is a set of objects belonging to t_{th} type, a weighted graph $G = \langle V, E, W \rangle$ is called an information network on objects \mathcal{X} , if $V = \mathcal{X}$, E is a binary relation on V, and $W : E \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a weight mapping from an edge $e \in E$ to a real number $w \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Specially, we call such an information network heterogeneous network when $T \geq 2$; and homogeneous network when T = 1.



Star Network Schema

Definition 2. Star Network Schema. An information network $G = \langle V, E, W \rangle$ on T + 1 types of objects $\mathcal{X} = \{X_t\}_{t=0}^T$ is called with star network schema, if $\forall e = \langle x_i, x_j \rangle \in E, x_i \in X_0 \land x_j \in X_t (t \neq 0)$, or vise versa. G is then called a star network. Type X_0 is called the center type. X_0 is also called the **target type** and $X_t (t \neq 0)$ are called **attribute types**.



Net-Cluster

Definition 3. Net-cluster. Given a network G, a netcluster C is defined as $C = \langle G', p_C \rangle$, where G' is a **subnetwork** of G, *i.e.*, $V(G') \subseteq V(G)$, $E(G') \subseteq E(G)$, and $\forall e = \langle x_i, x_j \rangle \in E(G'), W(G')_{x_i x_j} = W(G)_{x_i x_j}$. Function $p_C : V(G') \to [0, 1]$ is defined on V(G'), for all $x \in V(G')$, $0 \leq p_C(x) \leq 1$, which denotes the probability that x belongs to cluster C, *i.e.*, $P(x \in C)$.







Anduce net-clusters
1 Initial:random
2 Other:according to the definition of net-clusters.



Brobabilistic Generative Model for target objects

①Given an attribute object x and its type $\mathsf{T}_x\;$,the probability to visit x in G is

 $p(x|G) = p(T_x|G) \times p(x|T_x,G)$

2Assumption: $p(x_i, x_j | T_x, G) = p(x_i | T_x, G) \times p(x_j | T_x, G)$

③Generate a paper d_i in the network:

$$p(d_i|G) = \prod_{x \in N_G(d_i)} p(x|G)^{W_{d_i,x}}$$
$$= \prod_{x \in N_G(d_i)} p(x|T_x,G)^{W_{d_i,x}} p(T_x|G)^{W_{d_i,x}}$$



Costerior Probability for target Objects and Attribute Objects

1 Generative probability of a target object: $p(d|G_k) = \prod p(x|T_x, G_k)^{W_{d,x}} p(T_x|G_k)^{W_{d,x}}$

2 Smoothing handling:

 $P_S(X|T_X, G_k) = (1 - \lambda_S)P(X|T_X, G_k) + \lambda_S P(X|T_X, G)$

3 Posterior probability: $p(k|d_i) \propto p(d_i|k) \times p(k)$.

$$logL = \sum_{i=1}^{|D|} \log(p(di)) = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\Wenbao \text{ Li}}}^{|D|} \log[\sum_{k=1}^{K+1} p(di|k)p(k)]$$



Psterior probability for attribute objects

$$p(k|x) = \sum_{d \in N_G(x)} p(k, d|x) = \sum_{d \in N_G(x)} p(k|d)p(d|x)$$
$$= \sum_{d \in N_G(x)} p(k|d) \frac{1}{|N_G(x)|}$$



E.:Ranking distribution for Attribute Objects
 ①Simple Ranking

$$p(x|T_x, G) = \frac{\sum_{y \in N_G(x)} W_{xy}}{\sum_{x' \in T_x} \sum_{y \in N_G(x')} W_{x'y}}$$

2 Authority Ranking

- $P(Y|T_Y,G) = W_{YZ}W_{ZX}P(X|T_X,G)$
- II. As the following PROPERTY2



NetClus(Yizhou Sun, Yintao Yu, Jiawei Han, KDD'09)

PROPERTY 2. Given a three-typed network with star network schema $G = \langle X \bigcup Y \bigcup Z, E, W \rangle$, where Z is the center type, and $\forall z, N_G(z) = \{x, y\} (x \in X, y \in Y)$, authority ranking P(X) and P(Y) are calculated through Equation 5 iteratively, then estimated joint distribution $\hat{P}(X, Y) =$ $\{\hat{p}(x, y) = P(X = x)P(Y = y), x \in X, y \in Y\}$ equals to the joint distribution represented by one rank matrix $\frac{M}{||M||_1}$, such that $||W_{XZ}W_{ZY} - M||_F$ is minimized.

III. According to the DBLP rules $P(C|T_C, G) = W_{CD}D_{DA}^{-1}W_{DA}P(A|T_AG)$ $P(A|T_A, G) = W_{AD}D_{DC}^{-1}W_{DC}P(C|T_C, G)$ where D_{DA} and D_{DC} are the diagonal matrices with the

diagonal value equaling to row sum of W_{DA} and W_{DC} .



GenClus

Wenbao Li



GenClus(Yizhou Sun, Charu C.Aggarwal, Jiawei Han, VLDB'12)

- Idea:cluster with incomplete attributes across objects and consider different types of links which may have variable importance.
- □ Advantage:
- Based strength-aware of different links
- Probabilistic clustering model



Figure 1: A Motivating Example on Clustering Political Interests in Social Information Networks



 \Box Heterogeneous IN:G = (V,E,W) Mapping function from object to object: $\tau: V \to A$ A is object type set. Mapping function from link to link type: $\varphi: E \to R$ R is link type set. **C**Relation from type A to type B: $ARB = BR^{-1}A$ **Attributes:** $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_T\} \ v[X] = \{x_{v,1}, x_{v,2}, \dots, x_{v,N_{X,v}}\}$



Example1:DBLP



Figure 2: Illustration of Bibliographic Information Network



Example2:Weather sensor network



Figure 3: Illustration of Weather Sensor Information Network



Some Definitions

Formation

Formally, given a network G = (V, E, W), a specified subset of its associated attributes $X \in \mathcal{X}$, the attribute observations $\{v[X]\}$ for all objects, and the number of clusters K, our goal is:

- 1. to learn a soft clustering for all the objects $v \in V$, denoted by a membership probability matrix, $\Theta_{|V| \times K} = (\theta_v)_{v \in V}$, where $\Theta(v, k)$ denotes the probability of object v in cluster k, $0 \leq \Theta(v, k) \leq 1$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \Theta(v, k) = 1$, and θ_v is the Kdimensional cluster membership vector for object v, and
- 2. to learn the strengths (importance weights) of different link types in determining the cluster memberships of the objects, $\gamma_{|\mathcal{R}| \times 1}$, where $\gamma(r)$ is a real number and stands for the importance weight for the link type $r \in \mathcal{R}$.



Clustering Model:

- 1 Two properties:
- 1 attribute generated with high probability

2 links beteen objects which have similar clustering probability.

2 likelihood function of attribute:

$$p(\{\{v[X]\}_{v \in V_X}\}_{X \in \mathcal{X}}, \Theta | G, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \prod_{X \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{p(\{v[X]\}_{v \in V_X} | \Theta, \boldsymbol{\beta}) p(\Theta | G, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}{\mathsf{two tasks}}$$
(1)



□ Task One_Modeling Attribute Generation

$$p(\{v[X]\}_{v \in V_X} | \Theta, \beta) = \prod_{v \in V_X} \prod_{x \in v[X]} \sum_{k=1}^K \theta_{v,k} p(x|\beta_k)$$
(2)

assume the attribute values have two type:text,numerical

1 Text attribute with categorical distribution

$$p(\{v[X]\}_{v \in V_X} | \Theta, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \prod_{v \in V_X} \prod_{l=1}^m (\sum_{k=1}^K \theta_{v,k} \beta_{k,l})^{c_{v,l}}$$
(3)

2 Numerical attribute with Gaussian distribution

$$p(\{v[X]\}_{v \in V_X} | \Theta, \beta) = \prod_{v \in V_X} \prod_{x \in v[X]} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \theta_{v,k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_k^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_k)^2}{2\sigma_k^2}}$$
(4)



□ Task One_Modeling Attribute Generation

Multiple Attributes

assume the independence among these

attribute,
$$p(\{v[X_1]\}_{v \in V_{X_1}}, \dots, \{v[X_T]\}_{v \in V_{X_T}} | \Theta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_T)$$

$$= \prod_{t=1}^T p(\{v[X_t]\}_{v \in V_{X_t}} | \Theta, \beta_t)$$
(5)



Task Two_Modeling Structural Consistency

The more similar the two objects are in terms of cluster membership, the more likely they are connected by a link.

1 Consistency function

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}_j, e, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = -\gamma(r)w(e)H(\boldsymbol{\theta}_j, \boldsymbol{\theta}_i) = \gamma(r)w(e)\sum_{k=1}^K \theta_{j,k}\log\theta_{i,k}$$
(6)

2 Probability of Θ

$$p(\Theta|G, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \frac{1}{Z(\boldsymbol{\gamma})} \exp\{\sum_{e=\langle v_i, v_j \rangle \in E} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}_j, e, \boldsymbol{\gamma})\}$$
(7)

partition function(配分函数) $Z(\gamma) = \int_{\Theta} \exp\{\sum_{e=\langle v_i, v_j \rangle \in E} f(\theta_i, \theta_j, e, \gamma)\} d\Theta$



Unified Model(overall goal)

The overall goal of the network clustering problem is to determine the best clustering results Θ , the link type strengths γ and the cluster component parameters β that maximize the generative probability of attribute observations and the consistency with the network structure, described by the likelihood function in Eq. (1).

$$g(\Theta, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \log \sum_{X \in \mathcal{X}} p(\{v[X]\}_{v \in V_X} | \Theta, \boldsymbol{\beta}) + \log p(\Theta | G, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \frac{||\boldsymbol{\gamma}||^2}{2\sigma^2}$$
(8)



□ Algorithm Flow:

*Initial:*Set initial strength of different types of links with equally importance.

② Clustering optimization step: Fix the link type weights to th γ best value , γ^* termined in the last iteration. Then optimize the objective function with regard to and , Θ at is Θ

$$[\Theta^*, \boldsymbol{\beta}^*] = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\Theta, \boldsymbol{\beta}} g(\Theta, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^*). \ \mathsf{EM}$$



□ Algorithm Flow:

3 Link type strength learning step: Fix the clustering configuration parame $\Theta = \Theta^*$ and $\beta = \beta^*$

corresponding to the values determined in the last step, and use it to determine the best value of γ , which is consistent with current clustering results.

 $\gamma^* = \underset{\gamma \ge 0}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} g(\Theta^*, \beta^*, \gamma)$. Newton-Raphson

(3) Iteratively repeat step 2 and 3 until convergence is achieved.



PathSelClus

Wenbao Li



Idea:integrating meta-path selection and user-guided clustering to improve both the performance of clustering and learn the weights of different meta-paths.



Example

In Figure 2(a), authors are connected via organizations and form two clusters: $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $\{5, 6, 7, 8\}$; in Figure 2(b), authors are connected via venues and form two different clusters: $\{1, 3, 5, 7\}$ and $\{2, 4, 6, 8\}$; whereas in Figure 2(c), a connection graph combining both meta-paths generate 4 clusters: $\{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{5, 7\}$ and $\{6, 8\}$.



Figure 1: A toy heterogeneous information network containing organizations, authors and venues.

Figure 2: Author connection graphs under different metapaths. Wenbao Li

Meta-path selection:M-PS problem is then to determine which meta-paths or their weighted combination to use for a specific clustering task.

User-Guided Clustering: UGU is clustering under the condition of limited object seeds in each cluster given by users.

Input:

- The target type for clustering, type T.
- The number of cluster K. say $\mathcal{L}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_K$
- The object seeds for each cluster, $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_M$
- A set of M meta-paths starting from type T,

Output:

- The weight $\alpha_m \ge 0$ of each meta-path \mathcal{P}_m
- The clustering results $\theta_i = (\theta_{i1}, \dots, \theta_{iK})$

 $\square \text{ Modeling the Relation Generation}$ $\pi_{ij,m} = P(j|i,m) = \sum_{k} P(k|i)P(j|k,m) = \sum_{k} \theta_{ik}\beta_{kj,m} \quad (1)$ $P(W_m|\Pi_m,\Theta,B_m) = \prod_{i} P(\mathbf{w}_{i,m}|\boldsymbol{\pi}_{i,m},\Theta,B_m) = \prod_{i} \prod_{j} (\pi_{ij,m})^{w_{ij,m}} \quad (2)$

Modeling the Users Guidance

Dirichlet Distribution $\lambda \mathbf{e}_{k^*} + \mathbf{1}$

$$P(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i|\lambda) \propto \begin{cases} \prod_k \theta_{ik}^{\mathbf{1}_{\{t_i \in \mathcal{L}_k\}}^{\lambda}} = \theta_{ik*}^{\lambda}, & \text{if } t_i \text{ is labeled and } t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{k*}, \\ 1, & \text{if } t_i \text{ is not labeled.} \end{cases}$$
Uniform Distribution⁽³⁾

Modeling the weights for meta-path selection

by evaluating the consistency between its relation matrix $\alpha_m^* = \underset{\alpha_m}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \prod_i P(\pi_{i,m} | \alpha_m \mathbf{w}_{i,m}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_i, B_m)$ (4)

The posterior of $\pi_{i,m} = \theta_i B_m$ is another Dirichlet distribution with the updated parameter vector as $\alpha_m \mathbf{w}_{i,m} + \mathbf{1}$, according to the multinomial-Dirichlet conjugate:

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{i,m} | \alpha_m \mathbf{w}_{i,m}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_i, B_m \sim Dir(\alpha_m w_{ij,m} + 1, \dots, \alpha_m w_{i|F_m|,m} + 1)$$
(5)

$$P(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{i,m}|\alpha_m \mathbf{w}_{i,m}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_i, B_m) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_m n_{i,m} + |F_m|)}{\prod_j \Gamma(\alpha_m w_{ij,m} + 1)} \prod_j (\pi_{ij,m})^{\alpha_m w_{ij,m}}$$
(6)

Unified Model

$$P(\{\alpha_m W_m\}_{m=1}^M, \Pi_{1:M}, \Theta | B_{1:M}, \Phi_{1:M}, \lambda) = \prod_i (\prod_m P(\alpha_m W_m | \Pi_m, \theta_i, B_m) P(\Pi_m | \Phi_m)) P(\theta_i | \lambda)$$
(7)

$$J = \sum_{i} \left(\sum_{m} \log P(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{i,m} | \alpha_{m} \mathbf{w}_{i,m}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}, B_{m}) + \sum_{k} \mathbf{1}_{\{t_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{k}\}} \lambda \log \theta_{ik} \right)$$

$$J = \sum_{i} \left(\sum_{m} \left(\sum_{j} \alpha_{m} w_{ij,m} \log \sum_{k} \theta_{ik} \beta_{kj,m} + \log \Gamma(\alpha_{m} n_{i,m} + |F_{m}|) - \sum_{j} \log \Gamma(\alpha_{m} w_{ij,m} + 1) \right) + \sum_{k} \mathbf{1}_{\{t_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{k}\}} \lambda \log \theta_{ik} \right)$$

$$(8)$$

$$(9)$$

$$(9)$$

$$(9)$$

CGC

Wenbao Li

CGC (Wei Cheng, Xiang Zhang, Zhishan Guo, Yubao Wu, KDD'13)

Co-Regularized Multi-Domain Graph Clustering

- Idea:Based on NMF,deal with cross-domain with many to many weighted relations.
- Use loss function regularization

CGC (Wei Cheng, Xiang Zhang, Zhishan Guo, Yubao Wu, KDD'13)

Co-Regularized Multi-Domain Clustering

$$1.Single - Domain: \min L^{(\pi)} = \left\| A^{(\pi)} - H^{(\pi)} (H^{(\pi)})^T \right\|_F^2, \arg\max_j h_{aj}^{(\pi)}$$

$$2.A).k_1 = k_2 = \dots = k_d = k \qquad \mathcal{J}_{b,l}^{(i,j)} = (\mathbb{E}^{(i,j)} (x_b^{(j)}, l) - \mathbf{h}_{b,l}^{(j)})^2$$

$$\mathbb{E}^{(i,j)} (x_b^{(j)}, l) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}^{(i,j)} (x_b^{(j)})|} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{N}^{(i,j)} (x_b^{(j)})} \mathbf{S}_{b,a}^{(i,j)} \mathbf{h}_{a,l}^{(i)} \tag{3}$$

Residual of sum of squares loss function

B).

$$\mathcal{J}_{RSS}^{(i,j)} = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{b=1}^{n_j} \mathcal{J}_{b,l}^{(i,j)} = ||\mathbf{S}^{(i,j)}\mathbf{H}^{(i)} - \mathbf{H}^{(j)}||_F^2$$
(4)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}_{CD}^{(i,j)} &= \sum_{a=1}^{n_j} \sum_{b=1}^{n_j} \left(K(\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{a*}^{(i \to j)}, \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{b*}^{(i \to j)}) - K(\mathbf{h}_{a*}^{(j)}, \mathbf{h}_{b*}^{(j)}) \right)^2 \\ &= ||\mathbf{S}^{(i,j)} \mathbf{H}_{\text{Wenbao Li}}^{(i)} \mathbf{H}^{(i)})^{\mathrm{T}} - \mathbf{H}^{(j)} (\mathbf{H}^{(j)})^{\mathrm{T}}||_F^2 \end{split}$$

CGC (Wei Cheng, Xiang Zhang, Zhishan Guo, Yubao Wu, KDD'13)

Co-Regularized Multi-Domain Clustering Joint Matrix optimization

$$\min_{\mathbf{H}^{(\pi)} \ge 0} \mathcal{O} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \mathcal{L}^{(i)} + \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda^{(i,j)} \mathcal{J}^{(i,j)}$$

where $\mathcal{J}^{(i,j)}$ can be either $\mathcal{J}^{(i,j)}_{RSS}$ or $\mathcal{J}^{(i,j)}_{CD}$.

SI-Cluster

Wenbao Li

$SI-Cluster_{(\texttt{Yang Zhou,Ling Liu,KDD'13})}$

Idea:define new vertex similarity metric in terms of self-influence similarity and coinfluence similarity,and then according the similarity calculated from the social graph and associated activity graph,combine into total social influence and do clustering.

ComClus

Wenbao Li

Conclus_(Ran Wang,Chuan Shi,Philip S. Yu,Bin Wu,PAKDD'13)

- deals with the hybrid network with heterogeneous and homogeneous network simultaneously.
- applies star schema with self loop to organize the hybrid network and uses a probability model to represent the generative probability of objects.

Part Two

Wenbao Li

Our Ideas and Involved Difficulties

- From the point of multi-view(multi-kernel) clustering
- do clustering for all types of objects with constraints which are determined by the relations between different types of objects.

 $obj = f(A, P, V) = f_1(A) + f_2(P) + f_3(V) + CONS.(A, P, V)$

But how to model the clustering of single type object and the constraints?

Our Ideas and Involved Difficulties

From point of regularization(such as CGC)
 Do clustering for a target type(such as A,author)

$$Obj = f(A) + L_1(A, P) + L_2(A, V)$$

How to model the clustering of single type object and the regularization of its related type.

Our Ideas and Involved Difficulties

- From the point of meta-path(PathSelClus)
- A-P-A
- A-V-A
- A-T-A

Over

Wenbao Li